CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.

| • | Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted direct where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the | tly to e ap | the CalFresh Policy analyst as propriate CalFresh Bureau unit | signed responsibility to the county manager. |
|-----|--|----------------|--|--|
| 1. | RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation | 5. | DATE OF REQUEST: July 1, 2013 | NEED RESPONSE BY: July 8, 2013 |
| | ☐ QC ☐ Fair Hearing | 6. | COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Riverside County | |
| | ✓ Other: | 7. | SUBJECT: Treatment of the Child Sup | port Exclusion (part 2) |
| 2. | REQUESTOR NAME: | 8. | REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, or NOTE: All requests must have a reg | |
| 3. | PHONE NO.: | | Attached Policy Interpretation | on Requests |
| 4. | REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 06-31 | | | |
| 9. | QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY): | | | |
| | From Treatment of the Child Support Exclusion (part 1) | | | |
| 10. | REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER: | | | |

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

- a) In this scenario, the child support would be deducted from any unearned income that the household has first, and then any remainder would be deducted from the household's earned income. (ACL 06-31)
- b) In this scenario, the child support would be deducted from any unearned income that the household has first, and then any remainder would be deducted from the household's earned income. This is the same outcome as scenario 1 above since the excluded member is not responsible for the child support. [63-502.2(p)]

Continued on page 2

| | FOR CDSS USE | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| DATE RECEIVED: | DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: | |

CF 24 (7/12)

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION (Continued) RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: DATE OF REQUEST: NEED RESPONSE BY: ☐ Policy/Regulation Interpretation COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: ☐ QC Fair Hearing 7. SUBJECT: Other: REQUESTOR NAME: REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). PHONE NO .: REGULATION CITE(S):

STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY: (continued from page 1)

- c) In this scenario, the child support would be deducted from the excluded member's income first. Any remaining child support would then be deducted from the household's unearned income. If, after deducting the child support from the unearned income, any portion of the child support payment is left, it would then be deducted from the household's earned income. (MPP 63-503.442(b))
- d) In this scenario, the child support for the excluded member's child would be deducted from the excluded member's income.

The child support for the non-excluded member would be deducted from the unearned income of the household first, and any remaining child support would then be deducted from the earned income of the household.

Question 2:

CDSS concurs with the requestors proposed response; the exclusion of child support paid from income consideration may vary based on factors such as which hh members (excluded or not) are responsible for paying the child support as well as which hh members (excluded or not) have earned income.